# Chemistry and Biology of the Streptogramin A Antibiotics

Fahim Ahmed and William A. Donaldson\*

Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, P. O. Box 1881, Milwaukee, WI 53201-1881 USA

**Abstract:** The streptogramin A antibiotics have proven to be highly active against Gram positive bacteria, particularly methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Members of this group of compounds are characterized by a 23-membered macrocycles containing polyene, oxazole, amide and ester functionality. The chemistry and biology of these valuable antimicrobial agents is covered.

Keywords: Antibiotics, biosynthetic origin, mode of action, total synthesis, synthetic studies.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The increased use of antibiotics has led to the occurrence of multidrug resistant strains. In particular, the emergence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is recognized as a serious problem. Recently, up to 18% of all infections in European Intensive Care Units were attributed to oxacillin or methicillin resistant *S. aureus* [1]. These infections include skin and skin structure infections, nosocomial pneumonia infections, and catheter related infections. Nearly 60% of the ICU acquired *S. aureus* infections were reported to be MRSA [2]. Patients with MRSA infections are linked to increased risk of mortality (greater than twice as many deaths "on ward") and prolonged hospitalization (ca. twice as long) compared to patients with methicillin susceptible *S. aureus* [3].

and is known as group B, while the group A compounds are characterized by a 23-membered macrocyclic ring, an oxazole ring, and a conjugated dienyl amine. This review will primarily deal with the chemistry and biology of the group A streptogramin antibiotics.

#### 2. BIOLOGY OF STREPTOGRAMIN A ANTIBIOTICS

#### 2.1 Isolation and Structural Assignment

The early literature of the type A streptogramin antibiotics can be somewhat confusing due to the different names which were given for these compounds by different research groups. For example, the isolation of two group A streptogramin antibiotics from *Streptomyces osteogrycin* (originally termed

Fig. (1).

Certain risk factors are associated with the development of MRSA infections, including previous antibiotic use, prolonged hospitalization, severe underlying disease, old age and multiple invasive procedures [4]. Not only is there a human toll, but also an increased financial burden associated with these infections [5]. Thus, there is clearly the need for antibiotics effective against such resistant pathogens. One such class of agents is the streptogramin antibiotics. The streptogramin antibiotics, isolated from several species of *Streptomyces*, may be classified into one of two subgroups. One subgroup is peptidic in nature

and PA114a)

osteogrycins A and G) was reported in 1958 [6]. These were assigned the structures 1 and 2 (Fig. 1) by Todd and co-workers in 1966 on the basis of chemical degradation, and NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry [7]. A crystal structure of 1 eventually corroborated the spectroscopy-based assignment [8]. Compound 1 was also isolated from *Streptomyces olivaceus* ATCC 12019 [9a] (termed PA114A), from *Streptomyces mitakaensis* [9b] (termed mikamycin A], from *Streptomyces liodensis* ATCC 11415 [9c] (termed vernamycin A), from *Streptomyces pristinaespiralis* [9d] (termed pristinamycin II<sub>A</sub>), and from *Micromonospora* sp. SC 12,650 [9e] (referred to as vernamycin A). Compounds 1 and 2 are now most frequently identified as virginiamycin M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>2</sub> respectively.

<sup>\*</sup>Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, P. O. Box 1881, Milwaukee, WI 53201-1881 USA; E-mail: william.donaldson@marquette.edu

A structurally related streptogramin antibiotic was isolated from *Actinomadura flava* and several species of *Actinoplanes*, and its structural assignment was reported as 3 (Fig. 1) by several groups during the period 1975-79 [10]. This compound is most commonly known as madumycin II, however different groups have variously referred to 3 as A2314A, A15104V, A17002F, and CP-35,763. The structure of 3 differs from the virginiamycins in that the proline/dihydroproline group is replaced by a D-alanine unit.

Griseoviridin is a broad spectrum antibiotic isolated from Streptomyces griseus [11]. Extensive degradation studies as well as IR and UV spectroscopic analysis led to two proposed structures 4 and 5 for griseoviridin (Fig. 2), with the former being originally favored [12]. Eventually, the structure of griseoviridin was reassigned as 5 on the basis of X-ray diffraction analysis [13], although one of these references incorrectly assigned the relative stereochemistry for the C18-C20 diol as trans. The correct stereochemistry of this group is cis- as is indicated in structure 5 [13]. Since the configuration at C5 was known from degradation studies, this allowed for the complete stereochemical assignment. More recently, the macrolide conformation of griseoviridin in d<sub>6</sub>-DMSO solution was determined on the basis of 2-D NMR spectroscopy and restrained molecular dynamics calculations [14]. The solution structure is similar to that in the crystal state with minor variations in the diendiol segment.

Fig. (2).

#### 2.2 Biosynthetic Pathways

# 2.2.1 Biosynthesis of Virginiamycin M<sub>1</sub>

Kingston's group has investigated the biosynthetic origin of virginiamycin  $M_1$  (1) based on incorporation of  $^{13}C$  and  $^{14}C$  labeled precursors into the antibiotic produced by *Streptomyces virginiae* strain PDT 30 (Fig. 3) [15]. The antibiotic produced in the presence of [1- $^{13}C$ ] acetate exhibited enrichment at C5, C7, C12, C14, C16, and C18, while 1 produced in the presence of [2- $^{13}C$ ] acetate exhibited enrichment at C4, C6, C11, C13, C15, C17, as well as at C33. Notably, growth of the microorganism

fed with  $[1,2^{-13}C_2]$  acetate afforded virginiamycin  $M_1$  which exhibited  $^{13}C^{-13}C$  couplings between C4 and C5, C6 and C7, C11 and C12, C13 and C14, C15 and C16, and C17 and C18. Notably, there was no coupling between C12 and C33.

Fig. (3).

The most likely route for introduction of the C33 methyl group involves aldol condensation of an individual acetate unit (presumably in the form of malonyl CoA), followed by decarboxylation and dehydration (Scheme 1).

#### Scheme 1.

Growth of *S. virginiae* in the presence of racemic [3-<sup>13</sup>C] serine, produced 1 which was significantly enriched (ca. 7%) at the C20 oxazole carbon, indicative of the origin of this ring. Enrichment was also observed at the methyl groups C32 and C33. It was proposed that enrichment at C33 results from conversion of serine into acetyl CoA *via* pyruvate, while enrichment at C32 results from methyl transfer to methionine.

To this end, microbial production of the antibiotic in the presence of racemic [Me-<sup>13</sup>C] methionine resulted in enrichment at C32.

Feeding [1- $^{13}$ C] glycine to *S. virginiae* resulted in **1** which was enriched at C10 and C22. This is consistent with the N8-C9-C10 segment arising from glycine. Isotopic labeling at C22 is due to conversion of glycine to serine by  $N^5, N^{10}$ -methylenetetrahydrofolate and serine hydroxymethylenetransferase. Microbial production of **1** in the presence of racemic [2- $^{13}$ C] valine exhibited significant  $^{13}$ C enrichment only at C3.

Finally, growth of *S. virginiae* in the presence of radiolabeled proline (L-[3,4-<sup>3</sup>H<sub>2</sub>] proline or L-[U-<sup>14</sup>C] proline) indicated that this amino acid was responsible for the N23-C1 dehydroproline segment.

#### 2.2.2 Biosynthesis of Madumycin II

LeFevre and Kingston have elucidated the biosynthetic origin of madumycin II (produced by *Actinoplanes philippinensis*) using <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>2</sup>H labeled precursors [16]. By analogy to the biosynthesis of virginiamycin M<sub>2</sub> (*vide supra*), the C4-C7 and C10-C18 chains are likely derived from acetate. Evidence in support of this was obtained in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of madumycin II generated in the presence of [1,2-<sup>13</sup>C<sub>2</sub>] acetate (Fig. 4). Unfortunately, signal overlap for C11, C12, and C13 did not allow for either detection of <sup>13</sup>C enrichment or <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>13</sup>C couplings. As with virginiamycin, microbial production of madumycin II in the presence of racemic [2-<sup>13</sup>C] valine exhibited significant <sup>13</sup>C enrichment only at C3.

Madumycin II produced in the presence of racemic [1,2,3-13C<sub>3</sub>] serine exhibited <sup>13</sup>C enrichment at the oxazole carbons C20, C21, C22, the dienyl amine carbons C9 and C10, and at the exocyclic methyl C30. Labeling at C9 and C10 arises due to interconversion of serine and glycine (*vide supra*), while labeling at C30 presumably arises *via* conversion of the labeled serine into methionine, followed by incorporation. Notably, the madumycin produced under these conditions did not exhibit any significant <sup>13</sup>C enrichment at the C1, C24, or C25 carbons

Fig. (4).

of the alanine segment, thus indicating that the biological origin of this segment is not from serine.

The biosynthetic origin of the D-alanine segment (N23,C24,C1) was examined by feeding *A. philippinensis* with doubly labeled L-alanine (L-[3-<sup>13</sup>C,3,3,3-<sup>2</sup>H<sub>3</sub>] alanine). The madumycin thus produced exhibited both <sup>13</sup>C and three <sup>2</sup>H labels present. Since no <sup>2</sup>H isotopic label was lost, the intermediacy of dehydroalanine or 24,25-dehydromadumycin could be ruled out. Furthermore, competitive incorporation of labeled L- and D-alanine indicated that there was no preference for incorporation of either enantiomer of this amino acid. This suggests the occurrence of a facile alanine racemase system, which is operative prior to incorporation of D-alanine into the madumycin skeleton.

#### 2.3 Mode of Action

Both streptogramin type A and type B antibiotics inhibit protein synthesis in Gram-positive bacteria [17]. Bacterial ribosomes are comprised of a 50S and 30S subunit, which join into a 70S particle as the initiation step for protein synthesis. The sequence of steps in protein synthesis involve positioning of an amino acyl-tRNA (at the A site of the ribosome) and a peptidyl-tRNA (at the P site). Peptide bond formation between the NH<sub>2</sub> of the amino acyl-tRNA and the CO<sub>2</sub>H of the peptidyl-tRNA is catalyzed by the peptidyl transferase center (PTC) of the 50S ribosome, resulting in formation of an elongated chain at the A site. The final step is for translocation of the elongated chain from the A site to the P site in order for the process to occur again.

Type A streptogramins block the positioning of both amino acyl-tRNA at the A site and peptidyl-tRNA at the P site of the ribosome [18]. However, while type A streptogramins can bind either the 50S subunit, or the assembled 70S ribosome, it can not bind to ribosomes already engaged in protein synthesis [19]. It is believed that these polyene macrolides bind only to the free arms of peptidyl transferase. Binding of streptogramin A antibiotics is believed to cause a conformational change in the 50S subunit [20]. To this end, *in vitro* incubation of the 50S subunit with virginiamycin M produced inactive particles, even at substoichiometric quantities of the antibiotic. Removal of virginiamycin M from these inactivated 50S particles by column chromatography did not restore the activity.

Recently the crystal structure of virginiamycin M<sub>1</sub> bound to the 50S ribosome of Haloarcula marismortui was reported (Fig. 5) [21]. The structure was solved to the 3.0 Å level; the location, orientation, and conformation of 1 in the bound form was unambiguous in the difference electron density map. The C14 hydroxyl is hydrogen-bonded to the phosphate of A2538, the oxazole ring is positioned in a hydrophobic pocket of the A site, with the remainder of 1 extending over the ribosome P site. The most notable structural change upon binding of 1 is that the conjugated amide functionality (C5-C7) occupies an area which is originally populated by the nitrogen base of adenosine 2103 in the native structure. This nitrogen base is rotated ca. 90° with respect to its original position, and the plane of the aromatic base is positioned such that it is parallel to the C5-C6 olefin. The amide carbonyl is also hydrogen-bonded to the 2' hydroxyl of A2103.

The crystal structure of 1 bound to the protein Vat(D), a streptogramin A acetyltransferase from a human urinary isolate

Fig. (5).

of *E. faecium*, exhibits essentially the same conformation of the macrolide ring [22]. This type of enzyme mediates acetylation of the C14 hydroxyl of streptogramin A antibiotics, and is linked to acquired drug resistance in *S. aureus* due to drug efflux. In comparison, unbound 1 adopts a different macrolide conformation in its crystal state (as evidenced by X-ray structure) [8], or in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, CD<sub>3</sub>OD, or d<sub>6</sub>-DMSO solution, as determined by 2D NMR spectroscopy [23]. The solution structures are more compact compared to those observed for bound 1.

Type B streptogramins inhibit protein synthesis by blocking peptide bond synthesis [24]. These compounds interact with ribosomes actively engaged in protein synthesis indicating that the type B streptogramins bind to a portion of the PTC area distinct from the A or P sites or the catalytic site. Thus the type A and B streptogramin antibiotics inhibit different stages of the protein synthesis sequence. Furthermore, the action of the two streptogramin types is synergic. Notably, streptogramin B depsipeptides can be displaced from the ribosomal complex by the erythromycin antibiotics. However, in the presence of a type A streptogramin, this displacement of the depsipeptide is not observed (i.e. tighter binding than erythromycin). This increase in affinity for the type B streptogramin, in the presence of a type A, is attributed to the

conformational change in the 50S subunit due to type A binding [25].

Utilization of the interaction between type A and type B streptogramin antibiotics culminated in formulation of an injectible mixture of two semi-synthetic streptogramin antibiotics, quinupristin and daflopristin (3:7), approved by the FDA in 1999 and marketed under the name "Synercid" by Aventis Pharmaceuticals (Fig. 6)[26]. Synercid is active against gram positive bacteria including vancomycin resistant Enterococcus faecium (VREF) and methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA), however it is not active against E. faecalis. This synergic interaction between type A and type B streptogram antibiotics may have additional benefits, since bacteria must develop resistance to the action of both type A and B inhibition.

In spite this synergic action, there are cases of quinopristin-daflopristin resistant *E. faecium*, isolated from farm animal sources, reported in both the USA and Europe [27]. This may be due to the FDA approved use of Virginiamycin [a mixture of virginiamycin M and virginiamycin S (a streptogramin type B similar to quinopristin)] in chickens, turkeys, swine and cattle for weight gain. However a causative relationship between the

use of Virginiamycin in animals and resistant strains in humans could not be definitively established due to the lack of an animal control group for comparison (i.e. animal populations not given Virginiamycin). Limiting the use of streptogramin antibiotics has been recommended [28] and Denmark has banned the use of Virginiamycin as a growth-promoting agent.

#### 3. SYNTHESES OF STREPTOGRAMINS A

## 3.1 Syntheses/Synthetic Studies of Virginiamycin M2

# 3.1.1 Schlessinger/Li Synthesis of Virginiamycin M2

Schlessinger and Li were the first to report a synthesis of virginiamycin  $M_2$  (2) [29]. Their retrosynthetic strategy (Scheme 2) dissected the target molecule into a C3-C7 enal (6), a

Scheme 2.

C9-C16 dienylamine (7), and a metallated 2,4-oxazole (8). This strategy relied on condensations of the anion derived from vinylogous urethanes to set the C3 and C14 carbinol stereocenters.

Condensation of **9** with the pyrrolidine **10** (from L-proline) followed by methylation gave the vinylogous urethane lactone **11** (Scheme **3**). Deprotonation of **11** followed by reaction with isopropyl 2-bromoacetate gave a single substituted lactone **12** by alkylation on the less hindered face. Reduction of the isopropyl ester, subsequent protection of the 1° alcohol and Li metal reduction of the unsaturated lactone gave the lactol **13**. Oxidative elimination of the pyrrolidine from **13** afforded the enal **14**, which was protected at the *t*-BuPh<sub>2</sub>Si ether (**15**). Horner-Emmons olefination gave the *E,E*-dienyl nitrile **16** which upon reduction with alane gave the dienyl amine **17**.

Preparation of the C3-C7 enal began with the vinylogous urethane 18 (Scheme 4). Aldol condensation of 18 with isobutyraldehyde proceeded with erythro selectivity and the resultant alcohol condensed on the ester to afford the unsaturated lactone 19 with 96% de. Dissolving metal reduction of 19 gave the lactol 20 which upon oxidative elimination of the pyrrolidine generated the moderately stable enal 6.

Coupling of the C3-C7 hydroxy enal 6 with N-Troc protected D-proline afforded the ester 21 (Scheme 5). Oxidation of the aldehyde functionality with NaClO<sub>2</sub> gave the corresponding carboxylic acid. Condensation of acid 22 with the dienyl amine 17 under Mukiayama conditions [30] generated the amide 23. Selective cleavage of the 1° TBS ether in the presence of the 2° BPS ether, followed by oxidation gave the aldehyde 24. Addition of the organozinc reagent [31] from 2-bromomethyl-1,3-oxazole 25 with aldehyde 24 gave 2°

$$MeO_{2}C$$

$$NR_{2}$$

$$Me_{2}CHCHO$$

$$-78^{\circ} \text{ to } 0^{\circ}C$$

$$NR_{2}$$

$$NR_{3}$$

$$NR_{4}$$

$$NR_{5}$$

$$NR_{5}$$

$$NR_{6}$$

$$NR_{7}$$

$$NR_{1}$$

$$NR_{2}$$

$$NR_{2}$$

$$NR_{3}$$

$$NR_{4}$$

$$NR_{5}$$

$$NR_{5}$$

$$NR_{6}$$

$$NR_{7}$$

$$NR_{9}$$

$$NR_{9}$$

$$NR_{1}$$

$$NR_{2}$$

$$NR_{2}$$

$$NR_{3}$$

$$NR_{4}$$

$$NR_{5}$$

$$NR_{5}$$

$$NR_{6}$$

$$NR_{7}$$

$$NR_{9}$$

$$NR_{9$$

#### Scheme 4.

alcohol 26 as a mixture of diastereomers which were protected as their triethylsilyl ethers 27. Reductive removal of the Troc protecting group and hydrolysis of the oxazole methyl ester set the stage for a Mukiayama macrolactamization to generate cyclic amide 28. Deprotection of the TES ether under mild acid

conditions, Dess-Martin periodinane oxidation, and finally removal of the BPS with HF-pyridine completed the synthesis of virginiamycin  $M_2$ . The synthesis proceeded in 22 steps, 2.8% overall yield from lactone  $\bf 9$ .

#### 3.1.2 Breuilles/Uguen Synthesis of Virginiamycin M<sub>2</sub>.

In 1998 Breuilles and Uguen, at the Universite Louis Pasteur, reported a synthesis of virginiamycin  $M_2$  (a.k.a. pristinamycin  $II_B$ ) [32]. Their retrosynthetic strategy (Scheme 6)

Scheme 6.

was similar to that of Schlessinger's group; the target was dissected into a protected proline, a C3-C7 hydroxyenoate **29**, a C9-C16 dienylamine (**17**), and a 2,4-disubstituted oxazole **30**.

The C14 stereocenter inherent in the dienylamine segment 17 was derived from dimethyl (S)-malate. Reduction to the butanetriol, followed by reaction with p-methoxybenzaldehyde gave the dioxalane 31 (Scheme 7) [32a]. Moffat oxidation of 31 afforded a sensitive aldehyde 32 which was immediately reacted with excess ylide derived from bromomethyltriphenylphosphonium bromide to give a mixture of E- and Z-alkenylbromides 33. Elimination gave the alkyne 34 which was deprotected. Selective protection of the 1º alcohol of diol 35 required considerable experimentation; eventually it was found that slow addition of the diol to PMB trichloroacetimidate and PPTS led to the monoprotected 36 (48%) along with unreacted 36 (23%) and diprotected diol (11%) all of which were separable by chromatography. After protection of the remaining hydroxyl group, homologation was accomplished by deprotonation with n-butyl lithium and addition to paraformaldehyde. The 2° TMS ether was subsequently cleaved with HF-pyridine to afford the diol 37. Carbometallation of 37 with methylmagnesium

i) HMDS/TMSOTf

chloride in the presence of 0.5 equivalents of CuI proceeded with modest regioselectivity to give an inseparable mixture of regioisomers 38a and 39a (1:3). Reaction of this mixture with 1.8 equivalents of diphenyl-t-butoxysilyl chloride gave a separable mixture of disilylated 39b and monosilylated 38b. After separation, cleavage of the silyl protecting groups from 39b gave diol 40. A sequence of protection-deprotection steps gave 1° allylic alcohol 41 which was oxidized to the enal 42. From this point, completion of the C9-C16 segment closely followed Schlessinger's route (c.f. Scheme 3). Olefination gave 43 which upon C16 protecting group exchange and reduction of the nitrile gave dienylamine 17. The synthesis of 17 by Breuilles and Uguen is considerably longer (21 steps) than that by Schlessinger's group.

The Breuilles/Uguen preparation of the C3-C7 segment relies on the desymmetrization of the meso triol 44 (Scheme 8) [32b-d]. This triol was prepared on multi-gram scale by Reformatsky reaction of two equivalents of 2-bromopropanoic acid with ethyl formate to give diacid 45 as a mixture of diastereomers. Recrystallization of the mixture from ether gave the meso-syn, syn diastereomer 45a, which was converted into triol 44 by diazomethane esterification followed by reduction. Desymmetrization of the triol was accomplished by P. fluorescens lipase catalyzed acylation [32c]. Conversion of the remaining primary hydroxyl group of 46 into a thio ether followed by acetate hydrolysis gave the diol 47. The diol was then transformed into phenylsulfonate 48, which was subjected to Raney-Ni desulfurization to give the sulfone 49 which was protected as the PMB ether. Reaction of the anion derived from 50 with sodium iodoacetate, followed by diazomethane esterification and cleavage of the PMB protecting group afforded enoate 29 [32d].

Esterification of hydroxyenoate 29 with N-Boc-D-proline followed by removal of the N-Boc protecting group gave 51 (Scheme 9). Coupling of this segment with 2-chloromethyloxazole-4-carboxylic acid gave the amide 52. Saponification of the enoate methyl ester, followed by condensation with the C9-C16 dienylamine 17 afforded the diamide 53. Selective deprotection of the TBS ether, followed by iodide substitution and oxidation of the 1° alcohol gave 54 setting the stage for macrocyclization. To this end, reaction of 54 with a large excess of the chromous reagent prepared in situ from the reaction of CrCl<sub>3</sub> with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> resulted in formation of the C16-C17 bond to give 28, as a mixture of diastereomers, along with an unidentified de-iodinated product. Preparation of 28 constitutes a formal total synthesis of virginiamycin M<sub>2</sub>, since this compound was previously transformed into 2 by Schlessinger and Li (see Scheme 5). This synthesis of 2 proceeded in 28 steps (longest linear sequence); < 0.2% overall yield from (S)-butanetriol.

# 3.1.3 Helquist Synthesis of the C9-C23 Segment

Prior to the Schlessinger/Li or Breullies/Uguen total syntheses, Helquist's group reported a synthesis of the C9-C23 diene segment [33] utilizing nucleophilic addition of an oxazolylmethane nucleophile to a dienal [31]. Their synthesis begins with free-radical bromination of ethyl 3-methyl-2-butenoate, followed by a Arbuzov reaction of the allylic halide with triethylphosphite to give the phosphonate ester 5.5 (Scheme 10). Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons olefination of N-Bocglycinal 5.6 with 5.5 gave predominantly the E,E-dienamine 5.7. The stereochemistry of the newly formed C10-C11 double bond is E- (>50:1) while the major stereochemistry of the C12-C13 double bond is also E- (10:1). Reduction of the ester group,

# Scheme 9.

followed by Swern oxidation gave the dienal 58. Asymmetric aldol condensation of 58 with lithium (S)-N-acety1-4isopropyl-2-oxazolidinone [34] yielded two separable diastereoisomers 59a:59b (3:1 ratio, i.e. 50 % ee). The desired diastereoisomer (59a) was converted into the Weinreb's amide and the free hydroxyl group protected as its silyl ether.

**TMSO** 

Scheme 11.

Reduction of the Weinreb's amide with DIBAL gave aldehyde 60. Reaction of the zinc functionalized species derived from 2-bromomethyl oxazole 61 [31] with 60 gave a mixture of diastereomeric alcohols which upon oxidation resulted in a single protected  $\beta$ -hydroxy ketone 62.

# 3.1.4 Brennan/Campagne Synthesis of C9-C22 Segment

Brennan and Campagne have prepared a similar C9-C22 segment of virginiamycin M<sub>2</sub> [35]. The synthesis begins with a palladium-catalysed coupling of N-Boc propargylamine and methyl 2-butynoate to yield 63 (Scheme 11). Reduction of the alkyne and the methyl ester was carried out by treating 63 with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> to give the desired (E,E)-dienol 64. Swern oxidation of 64 yields aldehyde 65. An asymmetric acetoacetate vinylogous Mukaiyama-aldol reaction [36] of aldehyde 65 with the trimethylsilyloxydiene 66 in the presence of CuF(R)-TolBINAP followed by methanolysis of the TMS ether with PPTS yielded alcohol 67 (81% ee by chiral HPLC) which was protected as its MOM ether (68). Reaction of 68 with TBS protected serine methyl ester in toluene at elevated temperature gave amide 69, which was deprotected by treatment with HF-pyridine. The resulting amido alcohol was cyclized with diethylaminosulfurtrifluoride (DAST) [37] under basic condition to afford an oxazoline. Dehydrogenation of the oxazolidine using  ${\rm NiO_2}$  proceeded in only 34% yield to give the oxazole 70.

#### 3.1.5 Ahmed/Cao/Donaldson Synthesis of C9-C17

Ahmed, Cao and Donaldson have prepared a C9-C17 segment of virginiamycin M<sub>2</sub> [38a]. Their synthesis begins with the known [39] E, E-dienal-iron complex 71 (Scheme 12). Reaction of 71 with benzylamine followed by NaBH<sub>4</sub> reduction and protection gave the N-Boc derivative 72. The ester complex was transformed into the triene 73 by reduction, Saigo-Mukaiyama oxidation [40], and finally Peterson olefination. Cycloaddition of 73 with the nitrile oxide derived from 2-(2nitroethoxy)tetrahydropyran gave isoxazoline 74 as a mixture of diastereomers at the THP carbon. The diastereoselectivity of this cyclocondensation results from approach of the nitrile oxide to the complexed triene in the s-trans conformer on the face opposite to the bulky (tricarbonyl)iron group (Fig. 7). The s-trans conformer is the predominant conformer in solution due to destabilizing steric interactions in the s-cis conformer. Reductive hydrolysis of isoxazoline 74 in the presence of commercially purchased Raney-Ni gave β-hydroxyketone 75 as a mixture of diastereomers at the THP carbon. While the diastereoselectivity of the intermolecular nitrile oxide-olefin

Scheme 12.

cycloaddition was demonstrated on a racemic (triene)iron complex, preparation of the precursor (71) in optically active form would lead to an enantioselective synthesis [38b].

$$R' = N + O$$

$$Me$$

$$OC$$

$$CO$$

$$S-trans-73$$

$$TBOC$$

$$N$$

$$CH_2$$

$$CO$$

$$CO$$

$$S-trans-73$$

$$S-cis-73$$

Fig. (7).

# 3.1.6 Helquist Route to the C3-C7 Segment of the Virginiamycins/Madumycins

Helquist's group has described a short route to the C3-C7 segment **76** of the virginiamycins/madumycins [41]. This

synthesis utilizes a diastereoselective aldol condensation between *N*-propionyl oxazolidinethione 77 and isobutyraldehyde to afford 78 (Scheme 13). Protection of the C3 alcohol, reductive removal of the chiral auxiliary, and olefination complete the synthesis. The Wittig olefination proceeded with 18:1 E:Z selectivity, and the two geometrical isomers could be separated by column chromatography.

# 3.2 Synthesis of 14,15-Anhydropristinamycin IIB

14,15-Anhydropristinamycin II<sub>B</sub> (79, Scheme 14) is a streptogramin A antibiotic related to virginiamycin  $M_2$ ; the dehydroproline derivative has been isolated from *S. olivaceus* ATCC53527 [42]. Pattenden's group has reported a total synthesis of 79 which relies on a Stille-type Pd-catalyzed vinyltin coupling [43] strategy for closure of the macrocyclic ring. Their retrosynthetic analysis dissects the target molecule into a vinyl-tin amide segment 80, a dienal bromide 81, and oxazole 82 (Scheme 14) [44].

Scheme 14.

Preparation of the vinyl-tin amide segment (C3-C11) begins with a diastereoselective aldol condensation between the *N*-propionyl oxazolidinone **83** [34] and isobutyraldehyde to afford **84** (Scheme **15**). Reductive removal of the chiral auxiliary with Red-Al, followed by Horner-Emmons olefination gave the unsaturated ester **85**. Protection of the C3 alcohol, followed by

amide formation with propargylamine gave **86**. The MOM protecting group was removed and replaced by an acetyl group. Hydrostannylation of the propargyl amide gave the vinyl-tin species **87**. Saponification of the acetyl group gave the alcohol **80**.

Preparation of the C12-C16 dienal bromide **81** began with oxidation of the known allylic alcohol **88** to afford the enal **89** (Scheme **16**). Horner-Emmons olefination, followed by reductive removal of the Weinreb's amide gave **81**. Reaction of the dianion generated from 4- hydroxymethylene-2-methyl-1,3-oxazole (**82**) with **81** gave the racemic dienol **90** in modest yield. Selective oxidation of the C23 hydroxymethylene group in the presence of the C16 dienyl alcohol was accomplished using MnO<sub>2</sub> to afford aldehyde **91**. The authors propose that this selectivity may be due to prior association of the C16 dienyl hydroxyl group with the oxazole nitrogen which protects this group from reaction. Oxidation of the oxazole carboxaldehyde gives carboxylic acid **92**.

Coupling of the C3-C11 alcohol **80** with *N*-trifluoroacetyl D-proline, followed by hydrolysis of the TFA group gave **93** (Scheme **17**). Further coupling of carboxylic acid **92** with **93** gave the vinyl stannane-vinyl bromide acyclic precursor **94** as a mixture of diastereomers at C16. Palladium catalyzed intramolecular Stille coupling [43] of **94** with Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub> and triphenylarsine afforded the macrocyclic trienol **95**, albeit in low yield. Oxidation of the alcohol completed the synthesis of anhydropristinamycin II<sub>B</sub>. The synthesis proceeded in 14 steps, 1.3% overall yield from **83**.

#### 3.3 Syntheses/Synthetic Studies of Madumycin II<sub>B</sub>

#### 3.3.1 Meyers' Synthesis of Madumycin II

Meyers' group has reported a total synthesis of madumycin  $II_B$  [45]. Their strategy required dissecting 3 into two major

Me Me Me Me 
$$O$$
 i)  $O$  i)  $O$  i)  $O$  ii)  $O$  iii)  $O$  iii)

Scheme 16.

## Scheme 17.

components 96 and 97 by disconnection at the two amide bonds (Scheme 18).

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\$$

Scheme 18.

Meyers route to the C9-C23 segment 96 began with transformation of (S)-malic acid into the Weinreb's amide 98 by 1) Fischer esterification, 2) chelation controlled borane reduction, 3) 1,2-diol protection, and 4) conversion to the amide (Scheme 19). Reaction of 98 with allyl magnesium bromide generated the  $\beta, \gamma$ -enone which underwent stereoselective reduction (>99% de) with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> and LiI to afford the homoallylic alcohol 99. Protection of the secondary alcohol, ozonolysis and chlorate oxidation yielded the carboxylic acid 100. The carboxylic acid was converted into the requisite oxazole 101 by 1) generation of the mixed anhydride with isobutylchloroformate, and amide formation with (S)serine methyl ester, 2) cyclization to the oxazoline with Burgess reagent [46], and 3) oxidation of the oxazoline to oxazole with Cu(II)-Cu(I) peroxide reagent [47]. Deprotection of the C16 TBS ether followed by reaction with the dimethyl acetal of (2mesityl)formaldehyde in the presence of a catalytic amount of camphorsulfonic acid gave the acetal 102. Swern oxidation of the 1° alcohol and Wittig olefination with  $\alpha$ -formylethylidine

Me,

Me

CO<sub>2</sub>Me

1) KNPhth

Bu<sub>3</sub>P

O

N

NPhth

CHO

Br

2) pyri dine/
$$\Delta$$

Mes

103 (72%)

96 (57%)

Scheme 19.

triphenylphosphorane afforded the (E)- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated aldehyde 103. Reaction of enal 103 with vinyl tributylphosphonium bromide and potassium phthalimide yielded the E,E-dienylamine, and removal of the methyl ester gave the oxazole carboxylic acid 96 (18 steps, 4.6 % overall yield).

Meyers' construction of the northern fragment of the macrolide utilized an Evans' diastereoselective aldol condensation, similar to that reported by Pattenden (c.f. Scheme 15) to generate the alcohol 84. The acyl oxazolidinone 84 was converted into its corresponding Weinreb amide which was reduced to the aldehyde (Scheme 20). Olefination with diethyl 2-trimethylsilyl-ethyl phosphonoacetate gave 104 as the pure (E)- isomer. The resulting alcohol was coupled with N-Boc-D-

alanine followed by toluenesulfonic acid mediated removal of the Boc protecting group afforded amino ester 97.

Dicyclohexyl carbodiimide (DCC) mediated coupling of amine 97 with acid 96 afforded the amide 105 in good yield (Scheme 21). Removal of the phthalimide protecting group was carried out by treating 105 with methylamine in ethanolbenzene mixture at 50 °C for 2 days. Cleavage of the  $\beta$ -silylethylester was accomplished by treating with TBAF to yield 106. Finally coupling of the primary amine with the C7 carboxylic acid was carried out by treating 106 with i-Pr<sub>2</sub>EtN and bisoxazolidinone phosphoryl chloride (BOPCl) to yield 107. Hydrolysis of the acetal protecting group in 107 gave madumycin II (3) with 8-10 % of a double bond isomer

Scheme 21.

impurity. The Meyers synthesis requires 18 steps for the preparation of diene fragment 96 from (S)-malic acid and an additional 5 steps to couple 97 with 96 to form 3.

# 3.3.2 Ghosh's Synthesis of Madumycin II

Ghosh's group adopted a linear synthesis strategy to make madumycin II. Their retrosynthetic strategy dissected the molecule into a dienyl azide (108) and the unsaturated carboxylic acid (109) (Scheme 22) [48].

# Scheme 22.

Desymmetrization of the *meso*-cyclopentane-3,5-diacetate **110** by enzymatic hydrolysis according to the procedure of Schefold [49], yielded alcohol **111** in 95% ee (Scheme **23**). Protection of **111** followed by ozonolysis and NaBH<sub>4</sub> reduction gave the diol **112**. Transesterification of the acetate with methanol and protection of the resultant 1,2-diol with 2,2-dimethoxypropane resulted in the formation of **113**. Oxidation and olefination, in a fashion similar to that of Meyers (c.f. **102** -> **103**, Scheme **19**), gave the enal **114**. Horner-Emmons

olefination of **114** gave the E,E-dienoate **115**. Transformation into the dienyl azide **116** was accomplished by reduction with DIBAL, generation of the mesylate and subsequent  $S_N 2$  displacement with sodium azide. Selective removal of the acetonide in the presence of the MOM ether was accomplished by treatment with methanolic p-TsOH. Mesylation of the  $1^{\circ}$  alcohol followed by  $S_N 2$  displacement with cyanide gave nitrile **117** which was hydrolyzed to carboxylic acid **118**. Treatment with BOP and diisopropylamine in the presence of silyl protected L-serine methyl ester gave the amide, which upon protection of the C16 hydroxyl group with MOMCl furnished **119**. Conversion of **119** to the oxazole **108** required 1) silyl ether deprotection with fluoride ion, 2) Burgess reagent [46] mediated cyclization to an oxazoline, and 3) oxidation to the oxazole by treatment with CuBr<sub>2</sub>, DBU, and HMTA [50].

Syn-homoallyl alcohol 120 was synthesised in >95% ee by reaction of the chiral (Z)-crotyl borane 121 [51] with isobutyraldehyde followed by oxidative workup (Scheme 24). The terminal vinyl group of 120 was subjected to ozonolytic cleavage and subsequent Horner-Emmons olefination gave the  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ester 85. The secondary alcohol was then protected as the THP ether, followed by saponification to give carboxylic acid 109.

Saponification of methyl ester 108 with aqueous LiOH followed by workup with dilute acid gave the corresponding carboxylic acid which was coupled with D-alanine methyl ester to afford 122 (Scheme 25). Reduction of the azide functionality gave the primary amine 123. Amine segment 123 and acid segment 109 were joined by amide formation to afford 124. The THP protecting group was removed under acidic conditions followed by saponification of the C23 ester to afford 125. Yamaguchi macrolactonization between the C2 hydroxyl group and the C23 carboxylic acid affords 126. Exposure of 126 to

Scheme 23.

tetrabutylammonium bromide and an excess of dichlorodimethylsilane removes both of the MOM protecting groups of the 1,3-diol which concluded Ghosh's synthesis of madumycin II (3). The Ghosh synthesis requires 19 steps for the preparation of diene segment 108 from 3,5-diacetoxy cyclopentene and an additional 8 steps to complete the synthesis of 3 (0.68% overall yield).

# ${\bf 3.4~Syntheses/Synthetic~Studies~of~Grise oviridin}$

### 3.4.1 Meyers' Synthesis

Meyers' group has reported the only total synthesis of griseoviridin [52]. Their retrosynthetic strategy divided the molecule 5 into a C11-C24 oxazole-diene 127 and the ninemembered vinyl sulfide macrolide 128 which would be joined using amide bond formation (Scheme 26).

108 (45%)

#### Scheme 25.

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & &$$

# Scheme 26.

The preparation of diene segment began with the protected triol 102, previously prepared from (S)-malic acid in the

Meyers' synthesis of madumycin II<sub>B</sub> (c.f. Scheme 19) [47]. Oxidation of 102, followed by Wittig olefination with allyltriphenylphosphonium bromide gave diene 129 as a mixture of E- and Z-isomers (5:1, Scheme 27). Photolysis of this mixture in the presence of I2 gave exclusively the E-isomer, which upon hydrolysis with LiOH gave the carboxylic acid 127.

Condensation of the enolate anion from allyl acetate with (S)-3-t-butyldimethylsilyloxybutanal, followed by oxidation with Dess-Martin periodinane gave the β-ketoester 130 (Scheme 28). Reaction of the anion of 130 with the electrophilic sulfur agent 131 proceeded with formation of the carbon-sulfur bond to give 132. The configuration at this newly formed chiral center was irrelevant since reduction of the ketone functionality, mesylation of the resultant alcohol, and elimination gave the vinyl sulfide 133 (20:1, Z:E). Treatment of 133 with 10% HCl resulted in removal of both the TBS ether and

TrocHN 
$$\stackrel{CO_2t\text{-Bu}}{=}$$
  $\stackrel{OTBS}{=}$   $\stackrel{10\% \text{ HCl}}{=}$   $\stackrel{OM}{=}$   $\stackrel{OM}{=}$   $\stackrel{ODIAD}{=}$   $\stackrel{DIAD}{=}$   $\stackrel{OM}{=}$   $\stackrel{E}{=}$   $\stackrel{OM}{=}$   $\stackrel{OM}{=}$   $\stackrel{E}{=}$   $\stackrel{OM}{=}$   $\stackrel$ 

Scheme 28.

hydrolysis of the *t*-butyl ester. Cyclization of the hydroxy acid **134** was accomplished under Mitsunobu conditions [53] to give the macrolide **135** with inversion at C5. The trichloroethoxycarbonyl protecting group was removed by reduction over Cd/Pb to afford the amino lactone **128**. Many of these steps had been previously pioneered by Miller's group (c.f. Scheme **32**).

Coupling of amino lactone 128 with the oxazole carboxylic acid 127 gave the amide 136 (Scheme 29). Conversion of the allyl ester to the carboxylic acid under Pd-catalysis, followed by amide bond formation with allyl amine gave the cyclization precursor 137. Treatment of 137 with 30 mol % Grubbs' "1st generation catalyst" [54] proceeded with formation of the macrolide 138 as a single olefin stereoisomer. Attempts to optimize the RCM conditions resulted in yields in the 37-42% range. Hydrolysis of the mesityl acetal gave griseoviridin (5). The Meyers synthesis requires 17 steps from (S)-malic acid for the preparation of diene carboxylic acid 127 and an additional 5 steps to couple 127 with 128 to form 5.

# 3.4.2 Ghosh/Lei Synthesis of C11-N26 Dienyloxazole Segment of Griseoviridin

Ghosh and Lei have reported a synthesis of the C11-N26 fragment (139, Scheme 30) of griseoviridin [55]. Allylation of benzyloxyacetaldehyde gives racemic alcohol 140 which upon lipase catalyzed acylation afforded a separable mixture of unreacted (S)-140 and the optically active acetate (R)-141. Conversion (R)-141 into additional (S)-140 was accomplished by: i) saponification, ii) Mitsunobu inversion [53] with pnitrobenzoic acid, and iii) saponification. Esterification of (S)-140 with acryloyl chloride and ring-closing metathesis with Grubbs' 1st generation catalyst in the presence of Ti(OiPr)<sub>4</sub> [56] gave the unsaturated lactone 142. Epoxidation of 142 proceeded in a diastereoselective fashion, and the resultant epoxide 143 was reductively opened with diphenyldiselenide/NaBH<sub>4</sub> in isopropanol to afford the ester 144. Removal of the benzyl protecting group and selective protection of the 1,3-diol functionality gave 145. The dienyl chain was installed by oxidation of the primary alcohol, Wittig olefination, addition of vinyl Grignard to the enal 146 and

Scheme 29.

Scheme 30.

acylation of the resultant secondary alcohol to afford acetate 147 as a mixture of diastereomers. A palladium catalyzed dienyl acetate substitution with sodium azide gave 148 as the E, Eisomer. Conversion of the ester 148 into oxazole 139 was accomplished by a combination of methods used by Ghosh [48] and Meyers [45] in their syntheses of madumycin II (c.f. Schemes 19 and 22). The preparation of 139 requires 17 steps from benzyloxyacetaldehyde.

# 3.4.3 Helquist Preparation of the Aminolactone Segment of Griseoviridin

There are many synthetic studies reported for the aminolactone segment of griseoviridin. Helquist's group reported a synthesis of the aminolactone segment in 1985 (Scheme 31) [57]. Aldol condensation of 1,3-oxathiolanone 149 with (S)-3-tetrahydropyranyloxy-butanal gave a mixture of diastereomeric alcohols 150. Mesylation followed by base mediated elimination gave 151 as a 2.5:1 mixture of E:Zisomers. Methanolysis of 151 gave the ester 152, exclusively as the Z-isomer. Reaction of the anion from 152 with the protected (S)-iodomethyleneglycine 153 afforded the S-alkylation product 154. Hydrolysis of the THP ether as well as the diphenylmethyl ester, followed by Mitsunobu cyclization [53] gave the aminolactone 155.

# 3.4.4 Miller's Synthesis of a Diastereomeric Aminolactone

Miller's group reported a synthesis of an aminolactone diastereomeric at the C8 center with respect to griseoviridin (Scheme 32) [58]. This difference in configuration is due to Miller's use of the less expensive L-cystine as a starting material. Esterification as the t-butyl ester and Cbz protection of the amino group gave 156. Treatment of the protected cystine

CbzHN 
$$\stackrel{OTHP}{\longleftarrow}$$
  $\stackrel{1N}{\longrightarrow}$  HCl  $\stackrel{H_2O}{\longleftarrow}$   $\stackrel{CO_2H}{\longleftarrow}$   $\stackrel{OH}{\longleftarrow}$   $\stackrel{DEAD}{\longleftarrow}$   $\stackrel{OH}{\longleftarrow}$   $\stackrel{DEAD}{\longleftarrow}$   $\stackrel{OH}{\longleftarrow}$   $\stackrel{PPh_3}{\longleftarrow}$   $\stackrel{CbzHN}{\longleftarrow}$   $\stackrel{CO_2H}{\longleftarrow}$   $\stackrel{CO_2Me}{\longleftarrow}$   $\stackrel{CO_2Me}{\longleftarrow}$ 

Scheme 31.

with sulfuryl chloride followed by reaction with potassium phthalimide gave an electrophilic sulfur transfer agent 157.

Baker's yeast mediated reduction of ethyl acetoacetate gave ethyl (S)-3-hydroxybutanoate [59]. Protection of the alcohol and saponification of the ester gave the carboxylic acid 158. Reaction of the carboxylic acid with carbonyldiimidazole and the magnesium salt of monomethyl malonate gave the \beta-keto ester 159. Reaction of the anion of 159 with the electrophilic

sulfur agent 157, followed by ketone reduction, mesylation, and base mediated elimination afforded 160. These steps were later utilized by Meyers' group in their synthesis of the correct diastereomer of the aminolactone segment (c.f. Scheme 28). Hydrolysis of the TBS ether, followed by cleavage of the t-butyl ester and Mitsunobu cyclization [53] completed preparation of the diastereomeric aminolactone 161.

i) SO<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>

Scheme 32.

Scheme 33.

#### 3.4.5 Marcantoni/Bartoli Preparation of Aminolactone

The groups of Marcantoni and Bartoli reported a synthesis of the aminolactone segment involving an aldol condensation between (S)-3-MOMO-butanal (162) and an S-alkylated 2thioacetate (163) (Scheme 33) [60]. The aldehyde segment was prepared by Baker's Yeast mediated reduction of ethyl acetoacetate [59]. In this case, the authors determined the enantiomeric excess to be 95.4% on the basis of Mischer's ester technique [61]. Protection of the secondary alcohol and DIBAL reduction of the ester gave 162. Protection of D-cystine as its benzamide and t-butyl ester, followed by NaBH4 reduction of the disulfide bond gave the protected D-cysteine 164. Alkylation of 164 with ethyl bromoacetate afforded 163. Generation of the magnesium anion of 163 and coupling with

aldehyde 162 in the presence of CeCl<sub>3</sub> gave the alcohol 165 as a mixture of diastereomers. In this case, attempted mesylation/ elimination of 165, in a fashion similar to that pioneered by Miller, (Scheme 32) resulted in a "complex mixture of elimination products." This difficulty was eventually overcome by use of CeCl<sub>3</sub>/NaI to give the vinylsulfide 166. These reaction conditions also effected hydrolysis of the MOM ether and tbutyl ester. Mitsunobu cyclization [53] of 166 gave the aminolactone 167.

#### 3.4.6 Ardisson's Preparation of Diastereomeric Aminolactone

Ardisson's group reported preparation of a diastereomeric aminolactone (Scheme 34) [62] similar to that reported by

(8*S*)-**176** (8%) separable by chromatography

#### Scheme 35.

Miller. The French group however utilized a unique strategy compared to those outlined above. Reaction of (S)-propylene oxide with lithium acetylide gave (S)-1-pentyn-4-ol, which was protected as its triethysilyl ether (168). Deprotonation of 168 and reaction with the electrophilic sulfur agent 169, derived from L-cystine, gave the alkynyl sulfide 170. Removal of the TES protecting group, saponification of the methyl ester and Mitsunobu cyclization [53] gave the alkynyl lactone 171. The requisite ester functionality was introduced by Pd-catalyzed hydrostannylation of 171, followed by tin-halogen exchange to afford the vinyl iodide 172. A Pd-catalyzed methoxy-carbonylation completed the synthesis of the diastereomeric aminolactone segment 173.

# 3.4.7 Ardisson's Second Generation Approach to the Aminolactone Segment

More recently, Ardisson's group reported a very short, albeit non-stereoselective and lower yielding, approach to the aminolactone segment of griseoviridin (Scheme 35) [63]. Reaction of (S)-propylene oxide with the anion derived from lithio ethyl propynoate gave alkynol 174. Esterification of bis-N-Boc L-cystine with 174 afforded 175; notably, both chiral centers are opposite in configuration to that required for naturally occurring griseoviridin. Zinc/acetic acid mediated reduction of the disulfide bond of 175 and work-up with silver nitrate gave the diastereomeric aminolactones (8R)-176 and (8S)-176 which were separable by column chromatography. Presumably, reduction of 175 proceeds with epimerization at the C8.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The streptogramin A macrolides are effective antibiotics, particularly when combined with the streptogramin B cyclic polypeptides. These agents act to halt protein synthesis against Gram-positive bacteria by binding to the 50S or 70S ribosomes. The complex structure of the streptogramin A antibiotics combined with their impressive biological activity has generated considerable synthetic interest, culminating in total syntheses of virginiamycin M<sub>2</sub> (2), madumycin II<sub>B</sub> (3), 14,15-anhydropristinamycin (81), and griseoviridin (5). Additionally, due to the wide variety of chemical functionality present in these molecules, these synthetic studies have resulted in the development of methodology which can be applicable to a wide variety of naturally occurring targets.

#### REFERENCES AND NOTES

- Vincent, J. L.; Bihari, D. J.; Suter, P. M.; Bruining, H. A.; White, J.; Nicholas-Chanoin, M.-H.; Wolff, M.; Spencer, R. C.; Hemmer, M. J. Am. Med. Assoc., 1995, 274, 639.
- [2] Spencer, R. C. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis., 1996, 15, 281.
- [3] Holmberg, S. D.; Solomon, S. L.; Blake, P. A. Rev. Infect. Dis., 1987, 9, 1065.
- [4] Nichols, R. L. Am. J. Med., 1998, 104(Suppl. 5A), 11S.
- [5] Carbon, C. J. Antimicrob. Chemother., 1999, 44, (Topic A), 31.
- [6] (a) Ball, S.; Boothroyd, B.; Lees, K. A.; Raper, A. H.; Lester Smith, E. Biochem. J., 1958, 68, 24P. (b) Arai, M.; Karasawa, K.; Nakamura, S.; Yonehara, H.; Umezawa, H. J. Antibiot., 1958, 11A, 14.
- [7] (a) Delpierre, G. R.; Eastwood, F. W.; Gream, G. E.; Kingston, D. G. I.; Sarin, P. S.; Lord Todd, A.; Williams, D. H. J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1966, 1653. (b) Kingston, D. G. I.; Lord Todd, A.; Williams, D. H. J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1966, 1669. (c) Kingston, D. G. I.; Sarin, P. S.; Lord Todd, A.; Williams, D. H. J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1966, 1856.
- [8] Durant, F.; Evrard, G.; Declercq, J. P.; Germain, G. Cryst. Struct. Commun., 1974, 3, 501.
- [9] (a) Sobin, B. A.; Celmer, W. D.; English, A. R.; Routien, J. B.; Lees, T. M. GB patent 819872; CA 54:82829. (b) Sumiki, Y.; Umezawa, H.; Matsudaira, S.; Watanabe, K.; Okabayashi, M.; Tanaka, T. JP patent 36006549; CA 55: 146409. (c) Donovick, R.; Dutcher, J. D.; Heuser, L. J.; Pagano, J. F. US patent 19551116; CA 56:20603. (d) Preud'homme, J.; Tarridec, P.; Belloc, A. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 1968, 585. (e) Liu, W.-C.; Seiner, V.; Dean, L. D.; Trejo, W. H.; Principe, P. A.; Meyers, E.; Sykes, R. B. J. Antibiot., 1981, 34, 1515.
- [10] (a) Hamill, R. L.; Stark, W. M. DE patent 2336811; CA 81:2390. (b) Hamill, R. L.; Stark, W. M. US patent 74-466327; CA 84:87963. (c) Braznikova, M. G.; Kudinova, M. K.; Potapova, M. P.; Filippova, T. M.; Borowski, E.; Zelinskii, Ya.; Golik, J. Biorg. Khim., 1976, 2, 149. (d) Chamberlin, M. W.; Chen, S. I. J. Antibiot., 1977, 30, 197. (e) Martinelli, E.; Zerilli, L. F.; Volpe, G.; Pagani, H.; Cavalleri, B. J. Antibiot., 1979, 32, 108. (f) Celmer, W. D.; Cullen, W. P.; Moppet, C. E.; Routien, J. B.; Shibakawa, R.; Tone, J. U.S. Patent 4 038 383, July 26, 1997.
- [11] Bartz, Q. R.; Standiford, J.; Mold, J. D.; Johannessen, D. W.; Ryder, A.; Maretski, A.; Haskell, T. H. Antibiotics Annual, Medical Encyclopedia Inc., 1954-1955; pp. 777-783.
- [12] (a) Ames, D. E.; Bowman, R. E.; Cavalla, J. F.; Evans, D. D. J. Chem. Soc., 1955, 4260. (b) Ames, D. E.; Bowman, R. E. J. Chem. Soc., 1955, 4264. (c) Ames, D. E.; Bowman, R. E. J. Chem. Soc., 1956, 2925. (d) DeMayo, P.; Stoessel, A. Can. J. Chem., 1960, 38, 950. (e) Fallona, M. C.; McMorris, T. C.; DeMayo, P.; Money, T.; Stoessel, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1962, 84, 4162. (f) Fallona, M. C.; DeMayo, P.; McMorris, T. C.; Money, T.; Stoessel, A. Can. J. Chem., 1964, 42, 371. (g) Fallona, M. C.; DeMayo, P.; Stoessel, A. Can. J. Chem., 1964, 42, 394.
- (a) Birnbaum, G. I.; Hall, S. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1976, 98, 1926. (b)
   Bycroft, B. W.; King, T. J. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, 1976, 1996.
- [14] Jayaraman, G.; Bhaskaran, R.; Yu, C.; Young, J. J.; Jung, L. J.; Liu, W. T.; Ho, S. N.; Chang, L. R. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1994, 1201, 149.
- [15] Kingston, D. G. I.; Kolpak, M. X.; LeFevre, J. W.; Borup-Grochtmann, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 5106.
- [16] LeFevre, J. W.; Kingston, D. G. I. J. Org. Chem., 1984, 49, 2588.
- [17] Cocito, C.; Di Giambattista, M.; Nyssen, E.; Vannuffel, P. J. Antimicrob. Chemother., 1997, 39(Suppl. A), 7.
- [18] Chinali, G.; Moureau, P.; Cocito, C. J. Biol. Chem., 1984, 259, 9563.
- [19] Chinali, G.; Di Giambattista, M.; Cocito, C. Biochemistry, 1987, 26, 1592.
- [20] Moureau, P.; Di Giambattista, M.; Cocito, C. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1983, 739, 164; and references therein.

- Hansen, J. L.; Moore, P. B.; Steitz, T. B. J. Mol. Biol., 2003, 330, 1061.
- Sugantino, M.; Roderick, S. L. Biochemistry, 2002, 41, 2209-2216.
- [23] (a) Dang, J.; Bergdahl, B. M.; Separovic, F.; Brownlee, R. T. C.; Metzger, R. P. Aust. J. Chem., 2004, 57, 415. (b) Dang, J.; Bergdahl, B. M.; Separovic, F.; Brownlee, R. T. C.; Metzger, R. P. Org. Biomol. Chem., 2004, 2, 2919.
- [24] (a) Chinali, G.; Nyssen, E.; Di Giambattista, M.; Cocito, C. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1988, 949, 71. (b) Chinali, G.; Nyssen, E.; Di Giambattista, M.; Cocito, C. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1988, 951, 42.
- Parfait, R.; Di Giambattista, M.; Cocito, C. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, [25] 1981, 654, 236.
- Delgado, G., Jr.; Neuhauser, M. M.; Bearden, D. T.; Danziger, L. H. [26] Pharmocotherapy, 2000, 20, 1469.
- Thal, L. A.; Zervos, M. J. J. Antimicrob. Chemother., 1999, 43, 171.
- [28] Zervos, M. In The Medical Impact of the Use of Antimicrobials in Food Animals, Report and Proceedings of a WHO Meeting, Berlin, Germany, 1997; pp. 183-190.
- Schlessinger, R. H.; Li, Y.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 3301.
- Mukaiyama, T.; Bald, E.; Saigo, K. Chem. Lett., 1975, 1163. [30]
- Gangloff, A. R.; Akermark, B.; Helquist, P. J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, [31]
- [32] (a) Breuilles, P.; Uguen, D. Tetrahedron Lett., 1998, 39, 3145. (b) Adje, N.; Breuilles, P.; Uguen, D. Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 2151. (c) Domon, L.; Vogeleisen, F.; Uguen, D. Tetrahedron Lett., 1996, 37, 2773. (d) Breuilles, P.; Uguen, D. Tetrahedron Lett., 1998, 39, 3149.
- Bergdahl, M.; Hett, R.; Friebe, T. L.; Gangloff, A. R.; Iqbal, J.; Wu, Y.; Helquist, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1993**, *34*, 7371. [33]
- Evans, D. A.; Bartroli, J.; Shih, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, [34] 2127.
- Brennan, C. J.; Campagne, J.-M. Tetrahedron Lett., 2001, 42, 5195. [35]
- (a) Kruger, J.; Carreira, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 837. (b) [36] Pagenkopf, B. L.; Kruger, J.; Stajanovic, A.; Carreira, E. M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 3124.
- (a) Lafargue, P.; Guenot, P.; Lellouche, J.-P. Heterocycles, 1995, 41, [37] 947. (b) Phillips, A. J.; Uto, Y.; Wipf, P.; Reno, M. J.; Williams, D. R. Org. Lett., 2000, 2, 1165.
- [38] (a) Ahmed, F.; Cao, Y.; Donaldson, W. A. Lett. Org. Chem., 2005, 2, 222. (b) For examples of the resolution of (diene)iron complexes see: Donaldson, W. A. In The Chemistry of Dienes and Polyenes, Rappoport, Z., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons Ltd: Chichester, 2000; Vol. 2,
- Adams, C. M.; Cerioni, G.; Hafner, A.; Kalchhauser, H.; von [39] Philipsborn, W.; Prewo, R.; Schwenk, A. Helv. Chim. Acta, 1988, 71,

- [40] Narasaka, K.; Morikawa, A.; Saigo, K.; Mukaiyama, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1977, 50, 2273.
- [41] Kazmierczak, F.; Helquist, P. J. Org. Chem., 1989, 54, 3988.
- [42] (a) Lam, K. T.; Chang, R. L. S.; Hensens, O. D.; Schwartz, C. D.; Zink, D. L.; Woodruff, H. B. Eur. Pat. 296 322 A1 (1988) CA 110:113195; (b) Freidinger, R. M.; Bock, M. G.; Lam, Y. K.; Chang, R. L. S.; Hensens, O D.; Schwartz, C. D.; Zink, D. L. Eur. Pat. 517 484 A2 (1992) CA 118:16245.
- [43] Stille, J. K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 1986, 98, 504.
- [44] (a) Endtwistle, D. A.; Jordan, S. I.; Montgomery, J.; Pattenden, G. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, 1996, 1315. (b) Endtwistle, D. A.; Jordan, S. I.; Montgomery, J.; Pattenden, G. Synthesis, 1998, 603.
- Tavares, F.; Lawson, J. P.; Meyers, A. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, [45]
- [46] (a) Burgess, E. M.; Penton, H. R.; Taylor, E. A.; Williams, W. M. Org. Synth. Coll. Vol VI; Wiley: New York, 1988, 788. (b) Burgess, E. M.; Penton, H. R.; Taylor, E. A. J. Org. Chem., 1973, 38, 26.
- Tavares, F.; Meyers, A. I. Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 6803. [47]
- Ghosh, A. K.; Liu, W. J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 7908. [48]
- Busato, S.; Tinembart, O.; Zhang, Z.; Scheffold, R. Tetrahedron, 1990, [49]
- Williams, D. R.; Lowder, P. D.; Gu, Y.-G.; Brooks, D. A. Tetrahedron [50] Lett., 1997, 38, 331.
- [51] Brown, H. C.; Bhat, K. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 5919.
- Dvorak, C. A.; Schmitz, W. D.; Poon, D. J.; Pryde, D. C.; Lawson, J. P.; [52] Amos, R. A.; Meyers, A. I. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2000, 39, 1664.
- [53] Mitsunobu, O. Synthesis, 1981, 1.
- [54] Grubbs, R. H. Tetrahedron, 1998, 54, 4413.
- [55] (a) Ghosh, A. K.; Lei, H. Synthesis, 2002, 371. (b) Ghosh, A. K.; Lei, H. J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 4779.
- [56] Ghosh, A. K.; Liu, C. Chem. Commun., 1999, 1743.
- Butera, J.; Rini, J.; Helquist, P. J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 3676. [57]
- [58] Liu, L.; Tanke, R. S.; Miller, M. J. J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 5332.
- [59] Seebach, D.; Sutter, M. A.; Weber, R. H.; Zueger, M. F. Org. Synth., 1984, 63, 1.
- [60] Marcantoni, E.; Massaccesi, M.; Petrini, M.; Bartoli, G.; Bellucci, M. C.; Bosco, M.; Sambri, L. J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 4553.
- Dale, J. A.; Mosher, H. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 512.
- [62] Kuligowski, C.; Bezzenine-Lafollee, S.; Chaume, G.; Mathuteau, J.; Barriere, J.-C.; Bacque, E.; Pancrazi, A.; Ardisson, J. J. Org. Chem., 2002, 67, 4565.
- Chaume, G.; Kuligowski, C.; Bezzenine-Lafollee, S.; Richard, L.; [63] Pancrazi, A.; Ardisson, J. Synthesis, 2004, 3029.

Received: August 01, 2006 Revised: October 27, 2006 Accepted: December 21, 2006